



The Answers...

Question 1

- Wrong, because Jesus is not symbolized by the bread and wine--they become him.
- Wrong, because Jesus is more than just spiritually present during Mass and because he remains present in the consecrated elements until they cease to look like bread and wine. The priest's presence in the sanctuary isn't necessary.
- Wrong, because, although physically present, Jesus is not present with the bread and the wine. They cease to be present after the consecration. This is the heresy of consubstantiation or impanation.
- Correct, because the bread and wine cease to be present in their essence or substance after the consecration. Only Jesus is present, though the mere appearances of bread and wine remain.
- Wrong, because 1d is correct.

Question 2

- Wrong, because the host and the contents of the chalice are each both the body and blood of Jesus, even though, because of their appearances, we commonly call one the body and the other the blood.
- Wrong, because the host and wine do not symbolize Jesus but become him.
- Correct, because both the host and the wine (which is no longer wine but is called that only because of its appearance) become both the real body and blood of Jesus.
- Wrong, because after the consecration the bread and wine cease to be present, so Jesus' body and blood cannot be present with them.
- Wrong, because 2c is correct.

Question 3

- Correct, because the Eastern Orthodox churches have the seven sacraments and therefore a real priesthood. It takes a real priest to confect the Real Presence.
- Wrong, because a priest may celebrate Mass by himself. The validity of the Mass does not depend on the presence of witnesses. Perhaps you are confusing here the validity of a marriage, which normally requires two witnesses.
- Wrong, because Anglican orders are not valid. Out of courtesy we call Anglican ministers "Father," but Pope Leo XIII definitely determined in 1896 that Anglican orders long ago became defective. This means Anglican priests are, technically, Christian laymen. Since they aren't priests, their having the proper intention and their pronouncing the correct words of consecration are immaterial.
- Wrong, because deacons have only partial priestly orders and lay people have no priestly orders, and you need full priestly orders to consecrate the Eucharist.
- Wrong, because 3a is correct.

Question 4

- Wrong. If you chose this answer you should think seriously about enrolling in a first-year religion class. The seven-year-olds probably will be able to teach you something.
- Wrong, even though it is illicit for a priest to omit the opening sign of the cross or, when specified by the rubrics, the creed, such omission does not make the Mass invalid.
- Wrong, because the efficacy of any sacrament does not depend on the holiness

of the minister. If so, we never could tell if absolution "took place" in the confessional or if a Mass were validly said, since we can't see inside the priest's soul. Sacraments work through their own power, given by Christ, not through the virtuousness of the priest.

- Wrong, but close. If the priest ad libs the words of consecration, he likely will end up with an invalid Mass. If he ad libs other parts of the canon, he acts illicitly and perhaps sinfully, but the Mass does not become invalid.
- Correct, because all the other possible answers are wrong.

Question 5

- Wrong, because, as an obvious case, non-Christians may not take Communion, nor may someone knowingly in the state of mortal sin.
- Wrong, because canon law provides that only those Christians (such as the Eastern Orthodox) who believe in the Real Presence as Catholics do may take Communion in our churches (canon 844). All they need do is ask.
- Correct. Since Protestants do not believe as Catholics do regarding the Real Presence, they may not take Communion, even if they are in the state of grace. The very act of taking Communion is a visible sign that you believe exactly as the Catholic Church teaches concerning the Real Presence, and Protestants don't.
- Wrong, because, you must go to confession before receiving Communion, even if you have repented of your mortal sin.
- Wrong, because 5c is correct.

Pilgrimage to Walsingham 2010 - 26th September

On Sunday 26th September 2010 Grand President Vernon and Evelyn DeCruz, will lead The National Catenian Pilgrimage to the shrine of Our Lady at Walsingham. Bishop John Arnold has agreed to be our Spiritual Director on the pilgrimage.

Every few years in rotation with other Organisations, the members of the Association make this Pilgrimage, this one being the fourth. It is hoped that as many Catenians and their families as possible will join it. The proposed programme is:

- 1 pm Mass at the Slipper Chapel • 3 pm Procession to Walsingham village for Benediction (Fuller details will be sent out as soon the programme is finally agreed.)

Walsingham is one of the oldest shrines to Our Lady in Europe and has been a place of pilgrimage since medieval times. The shrine of Our Lady of Walsingham was founded in 1061 but was destroyed during the Reformation. In the 14th century the Slipper Chapel was restored, and once again Walsingham became a place of pilgrimage. In 1934, the Hierarchy of England and Wales declared the Slipper Chapel to be the Roman Catholic National Shrine of Our Lady. It now houses the Statue of Our Lady of Walsingham.

In 1997 when the Catenian Association made its first visit to the shrine about 1000 attended.

This time it is hoped that nothing will prevent us from having a record turnout. Our Mass will be celebrated in the Chapel of Reconciliation. One side of the chapel can be opened so that those who wish can attend Mass in the open. There is a well stocked repository and a tea room if needed and also plenty of space for cars and for picnics. Following the visit of our Holy Father, Bro Vernon asks for as many Provinces as possible to organise coaches for the 2010 pilgrimage, so that we, as a Catholic Association, can make a public statement about our Faith.

It would help our planning if each Provincial Council made arrangements for coaches and also appointed one person to collate the numbers attending and to send these either to me, details below, or to:

Sean O'Connell 01277 228368 oconnellj1@sky.com or Bernard Noakes, Director Province 2 b.noakes@btinternet.com

